

Beyond GDP: The Ongoing Search to Measure “Wellbeing”



- OLLI Fall Term 2022
- Wednesdays, 1-3pm
- Sept. 14 thru Nov. 2

- Co-facilitators:

David Carlson,

EEE Forum founder
and

Paul Belanger,

EEE Forum webmaster

Good afternoon and welcome to the the SIXTH week of Beyond GDP: The Ongoing Search to Measure Wellbeing. We'll begin with OLLI announcements.

[OR . . . an outline for the next couple of hours.

‘Doughnut’ Economics, Climate Change, and the SDGs” Session #6 Outline

- OLLI Announcements
- Highlights from Session #5 – SDSN Reports on SDG progress; Food Insecurity
- Doughnut Economics, Climate Change, and the SDGs
- 5-minute break
- Guest presentation and Q&A: **see next slide**
- Looking ahead to Session #7 (Oct. 26th)

Before we review some highlights from last week and dive into our topic for today, a heads-up about our guest presentation today after our 5-minute break at 2pm.

Week #6 (October 19th) -- Guest Presentation: “Regenerative Economics and the SDGs”



**Brenna
Simmons-St. Onge**

Executive Director

[The Alliance Center](#)



CONNECTING PEOPLE. INSPIRING IMPACT.

We'll learn about "Regenerative Economics and the SDGs" from Brenna Simmons-St. Onge, Executive Director of the Alliance Center in Denver.

Now to some highlights from last week.

Recent SDSN Reports: Nations, U.S. States & Cities



In 2022, the U.S. ranks #41 out of 163 countries—worse than #31 out of 166 countries in 2020.



In 2021, Colorado ranked #13 out of 50 states, improving from #15 in 2018.




In 2019, the Denver-Aurora-Lakewood MSA ranked #22 and Colorado Springs #68 out of the 105 largest cities and MSAs in the U.S.

Last week we learned about the Sustainable Development Solutions Network and its development of comparable SDG indicators for nations, U.S. states, and large cities in the U.S. to track progress toward reaching each Sustainable Development Goal by 2030.

Here are the most recent rankings once again for the U.S., for Colorado, and two of America's largest 105 cities.

2021 Status of SDG Progress by States toward 2030




2021 SDSN U.S. States Report – Highlights


- No state has met the SDGs, and none are currently “on track” to achieve the SDGs by 2030. 😞
- All states are stagnating on the SDGs. 😞
- Every state has at least 20% of indicators going in the wrong direction. If SDG progress were scored as an exam, nearly 2/3 of state and indicator values would be getting an 'F'. 😞
- However, there are 81 indicators where at least one state is on track for SDG achievement, which demonstrates that while progress thus far is unacceptably slow, there are bright spots across the country and achievement is within reach. 😊

The lack of progress reflected in the results of this report represents the very real hunger, violence, disenfranchisement, and insecurity that people in the US face every day.

2021 U.S. States Report

Leave No One Behind
Colorado ranks
#10th out of 50 states





AFTER COVID:
**Food Insecurity, Climate Change,
NEW Challenges**


**A FOCUS ON LOCAL FOOD ACCESS &
SUSTAINABILITY**

BEYOND GDP. -- OCTOBER 12, 2022

**PATRICIA IWASAKI, MSW. -- ADJUNCT INSTRUCTOR, "FOOD SYSTEMS, FOOD JUSTICE"
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WORK, UNIVERSITY OF DENVER**

FOOD INSECURITY IS A HOUSEHOLD MEASURE

U.S. households by food security status, 2021



Source: USDA, Economic Research Service using data from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, 2021 Current Population Survey Food Security Supplement.

'Doughnut' Economics, Climate Change, & the SDGs

- **Session #6 – The “Doughnut” model, “Doughnut” economics, and the SDGs.**
 - In advance of the 2012 U.N. Conference on Sustainable Development, Oxfam ecological economist Kate Raworth developed a “doughnut” model to visualize 11 key domains of human wellbeing, encircled by nine environmental global thresholds that must not be breached. We trace the evolution of this visually compelling model of human and ecological wellbeing, connections with the SDGs, and its application by the city of Amsterdam.


Why it's time for "Doughnut Economics"



Kate Raworth
December 16, 2014

<https://youtu.be/1BHOflzxPjI>

The “Oxfam’ Doughnut – Kate Raworth, 2012



“In the lead-up to the UN Conference on Sustainable Development in June 2012 (known as Rio+20), and the High-Level Summit on the Millennium Development Goals in 2013, there is a growing debate on how to draw up renewed and expanded global development goals which bring together the twin objectives of poverty eradication and environmental sustainability. Figure 1 below brings them into a single framework.”

The Oxfam Doughnut is the precursor to the Raworth Doughnut. Both are strongly connected to the United Nations Sustainable Development history and the SDGs. Raworth writes: [read slide]

In February 2012--five months before the Rio+20 Conference--Raworth publishes the Oxfam Doughnut in a discussion paper, “A safe and just space for humanity: Can We Live Within the Doughnut?”

[Available at [.https://policy-practice.oxfam.org.uk/publications/a-safe-and-just-](https://policy-practice.oxfam.org.uk/publications/a-safe-and-just-)


[space-for-humanity-can-we-live-within-the-doughnut-210490](#)]

Later, she learns that the Oxfam doughnut was helpful in early discussions about the SDGs. She writes:

“In 2015, insiders to the UN process of negotiating the Sustainable Development Goals—the 17 globally agreed goals for charting human progress—told me that, in late-night meetings to hammer out the final text, the image of the Doughnut was there on the table as a reminder of the big picture goals they were aiming for.” (*DE*, 20-21)

The 11 Social Foundation dimensions received the most mentions from a survey of social priorities returned by representatives from 80 national governments in preparation for the June 2012 U.N. Conference on Sustainable Development (a.k.a. Rio+20). Most domains in 2012 carry over to the 2017 Doughnut: “resilience” has been dropped; “income” and “jobs” are now combined; and “peace and justice,” “housing,” and “networking” have been added.

The “Doughnut” of Social and Planetary Boundaries Kate Raworth, Oxford Ecological Economist



“Put simply, it’s a radically new compass for guiding humanity this century. And it points toward a future that can provide for every person’s needs while safeguarding the living world on which we all depend.”


--*Doughnut Economics*, p. 39.

Raworth describes her personal and professional journey in “going beyond GDP” to develop the doughnut in her 2014 TEDx talk in Athens: ["Why It's Time for 'doughnut economics'."](#)

Mapping the **SDGs** onto the Raworth Doughnut



- Goal 1: No poverty
- Goal 2: Zero hunger
- Goal 3: Good health and wellbeing
- Goal 4: Quality education
- Goal 5: Gender equality
- Goal 6: Clean water and sanitation
- Goal 7: Affordable and clean energy
- Goal 8: Decent work and economic growth
- Goal 9: Industry, innovation, and infrastructure
- Goal 10: Reduced inequalities
- Goal 11: Sustainable cities and communities
- Goal 12: Responsible consumption and production
- Goal 13: Climate action
- Goal 14: Life below water
- Goal 15: Life on land
- Goal 16: Peace, justice, and strong institutions
- Goal 17: Partnerships for the goals



- End of Carlson slide deck


EXTRA SLIDES FOLLOW



Indicators for each SDG are available at [2021 SDSN Colorado Profile](#).


Here is an overview of the trends for each SDG for Colorado. We've achieved 2 of the 15 goals tracked: SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) and 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure). Two of the 17 SDGs are not tracked for states (grey): SDG 14 (Life Under Water) and 17 (Partnerships for the Goals). Challenges remain for 8 of the 15 SDGs tracked (yellow), 4 have significant challenges (orange), and 1 faces major challenges (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions (red). Why red? 6 of the 8 indicators for this SDG are tracking red, including murder rate, incarceration rate, police violence, and youth incarceration. You can see the full list at the hot link.

2021 report: From SDG dashboard **TO** SDG#2 ZERO HUNGER indicators
TO FOOD INSECURITY indicator metric



Last week we specifically looked for the indicators for SDG #2 ZERO HUNGER and Colorado's performance on all seven indicators. We chose one of the 7, Food Insecurity, to see the actual quantitative metric: food insecurity, % of households, 3-year average.

Think back to week 2 when we examined dashboards for various states, like Virginia, for example. Seven goals, seven indicators--49 indicators on one page. The 2021 SDSN profile for Colorado isn't displayed one page.



To see a dashboard for Colorado on one page, we go back to Colorado's 2-page profile from the 2018 SDSN report.

The first page looks like this:






The second page is shown on the left side. In that year, SDSN used a common set of 103 indicators for all states.

On the right half of the screen near the top, you see a close-up of Colorado's performance on the 7 indicators for 2018. On the bottom of the right side, you see the performance for 2021 for the same indicators. This is not always the case.



2021: From SDG dashboard TO SDG13 CLIMATE ACTION indicators TO GHG EMISSIONS metric





COLORADO
Performance by Indicator

SDG1 – End Poverty	Year	Rating	Rank	Year	Rating	Rank	
Affordable housing (per 100 Extremely Low Income Rental Households)	27	●	45	Real GDP growth (% average of 5 years)	3.30	●	4
Child labor (see sector due to cost (% of adult population))	12.0	●	25	Unhabited land (%)	4.4	●	16
Family (see policy (best 0–1 best))	9	●	6	Unemployment rate (% of population 25–64)	4.2	●	15
Living below national poverty line (%)	11.0	●	11	Fatal occupational injuries (per 100,000 workers)	4.0	●	16
Public policy (best 0–1 best)	9	●	11				
Families receiving TANF (per 100 families in poverty)	26.3	●	13	SDG9 – Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure			
Working poor (% of population 16–64)	2.4	●	19	Scientific journal articles (per 1,000 discoverer index)	8009	●	29
				Broadband access (% of households)	716	●	7
				Digital divide (%)	1.7	●	14
SDG2 – Zero Hunger				Internet use (%)	75.4	●	47
Elderly food insecurity (%)	3.8	●	2	Patents (per 1,000 individuals in SMI occupations)	17.4	●	21
Living in food desert (%)	17.3	●	9	Power needs (%)	2.1	●	29
Food insecurity (% of households)	10.1	●	8	Research and development expenditure (% of GDP)	2.1	●	29
Prevalence of obesity (% of adult population)	22.0	●	7	STEM employment (% of employed population)	8.7	●	4
Pesticide exposure (per 100,000 people)	73.2	●	7				
Rural infrastructure index (score 0–100 best)	64.7	●	12	SDG10 – Reduced Inequalities			
WIC coverage rate (% of eligible families)	41.0	●	47	Case for inclusion index (score 0–100 best)	76.4	●	19
				GIN coefficient (best 0–1 worst)	0.409	●	25
SDG3 – Good Health and Well-Being				Home groups (per 100,000 people)	6.29	●	26
Adolescent pregnancy rate (births per girl/woman aged 15–19)	17.8	●	20	Pollution burden (percentage point difference for people of color)	3.8	●	46
HW prevalence (per 100,000)	233.0	●	28	Racism index (best 0–100 worst)	33.5	●	40
Primary health care practitioners (% of need met)	38.4	●	41	Unhoused (%)	7.3	●	23
Infant mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)	5.8	●	16				
Life expectancy at birth (years)	80.2	●	7	SDG11 – Sustainable Cities and Communities			
Maternal mortality (per 100,000 live births)	0.20	●	7	Sustainable transportation (% of commuters)	7.4	●	14
Non-communicable diseases (per 100,000 people aged 25–70)	307.4	●	2	Unhoused housing (% of occupied housing units)	2.7	●	34
Drug overdose deaths (per 100,000 people)	15.4	●	20	Walk access (%)	74	●	3
Smoking rate (% of adults who are current smokers)	13.6	●	17	PM 2.5 exposure (µg/m³)	6.6	●	12
Suicide rate (per 100,000 people)	19.9	●	44	Rent burden (population %)	52.3	●	45
Incidence of tuberculosis (per 100,000 people)	1.5	●	17				
Deaths due to road collisions (per 100,000 people)	9.0	●	19	SDG12 – Responsible Consumption and Production			
Child vaccine coverage (% of population 16–30 months)	83.5	●	22	Chemical pollution (best)	3126	●	15
Substance use (best 0–100 best)	62.9	●	6	Land emissions (kg/capita)	0.020	●	20
				Non-emissions (kg/capita)	42.1	●	29
SDG4 – Quality Education				Recycling index (score 0–4 best)	1	●	34
Higher education (% aged 25–34, bachelor or higher)	40.4	●	10	SD3 emissions (kg/capita)	4.2	●	15
Students with debt (% of college graduates)	3.3	●	11	SD5 emissions (kg/capita)	1	●	34
Career and technical education (% of graduates placed)	97.8	●	3	SD6 emissions (kg/capita)	1.7	●	15
High school graduation rate (% of public graduates)	79.1	●	45	VOC emissions (kg/capita)	44.7	●	26
Early education (%)	48.9	●	15				
Basic reading achievement (% of grade 8 students)	78.3	●	20	SDG13 – Climate Action			
				Resilient building codes (% of jurisdictions subject to hazards)	33	●	40
SDG5 – Gender Equality				Climate alliance membership (score 0–1 best)	1	●	1
Carriage-free drivers (% of parents in restaurant in a society)	94.0	●	17	Global warming awareness (%)	71.0	●	14
Female labor force (% of total labor force participation)	30.3	●	41	Climate action plan (score 0–1 best)	1	●	1
GFPI inclusion in hate crime laws (best 1–4 best)	4	●	1	Energy-related CO2 emissions (tCO2/capita)	16.6	●	27
Women in government (% in state legislatures)	38.2	●	4	Effective carbon rate (USD/tCO2)	0.00	●	11
Sexual violence (lifetime prevalence)	36.2	●	23	FEMA mitigation coverage (%)	51.9	●	48
Gender wage gap (% of men's median wage)	84.3	●	5	Weather costs (% of GDP)	0.1693	●	44
Women-owned businesses (% of solely-owned businesses)	40.6	●	16	Weather injuries/fatalities (per 100,000 people)	0.54	●	24
SDG6 – Clean Water and Sanitation				SDG15 – Life on Land			
Drinking water (Emergency Action Plan (% of high hazard potential (dirt))	96.2	●	11	Change in forest area (% 5 year change)	0.3	●	28
Incomplete plumbing (% of occupied housing units)	0.11	●	11	Invasive management (best 0–1 best)	0.03	●	42
Water stress index (Normalized Deficit Index)	0.130	●	27	Non-carbon ecological footprint (% of biocapacity)	113.8	●	38
Safe drinking water violations (% of people drinking water with violations)	9.0	●	12	Protected area (% of total area with GDP (best 1–3))	9.7	●	19
				SDG16 – Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions			
SDG7 – Affordable and Clean Energy				Corruption rate (per 100,000 people)	859	●	19
CO2 intensity of electricity (mCO2/MWh)	0.661	●	40	State Integrity Index (score 0–100 best)	87	●	2
Low-income energy burden (% of income spent on energy)	5.2	●	25	Just transition rate (per 100,000 people)	1681	●	2
Energy efficiency (thousand BTU/dollar of GDP)	5.2	●	14	Justice Index (score 0–100 best)	35.5	●	5
Renewable energy consumption (%)	8.9	●	26	Lambert Climate Survey (score 0–100 best)	476	●	10
Renewable energy production (%)	3.2	●	43	Homicide (per 100,000 people)	1.7	●	20
				Water turnover (% of water-use systems)	493	●	3
SDG8 – Decent Work and Economic Growth							
Benefit across (per 10,000 people)	3.0	●	34				
Employment discrimination (per 100,000 people)	36.0	●	38				
Employment to population ratio (% of population aged 20–64)	76.0	●	13				
Youth not in employment, education or training (NEET) (%)	10.2	●	14				

2018 U.S. State Report
SDG13 – CLIMATE ACTION
Close-up of its 9 indicators

SDG13 – Climate Action

Resilient building codes (% of jurisdictions subject to hazards)	33	●	40
Climate alliance membership (best 0–1 best)	1	●	1
Global warming awareness (%)	71.0	●	14
Climate action plan (best 0–1 best)	1	●	1
Energy-related CO2 emissions (tCO2/capita)	16.6	●	27
Effective carbon rate (USD/tCO2)	0.00	●	11
FEMA mitigation coverage (%)	51.9	●	48
Weather costs (% of GDP)	0.1693	●	44
Weather injuries/fatalities (per 100,000 people)	0.54	●	24

Indicators

- ↓ Resilient Building Codes
- ↗ GHG Emissions
- ↑ Weather Costs
- ↑ Weather Injuries and Fatalities
- ● Effective Carbon Rate
- ↑ Climate Alliance Membership
- ↑ FEMA Mitigation Coverage

2021 U.S. State Report
Report SDG13
CLIMATE ACTION
and its 7 indicators

Oxford Discussion Papers

A SAFE AND JUST SPACE FOR HUMANITY

CAN WE LIVE WITHIN THE BUDGET?



Kate Raworth

Oxfam

Humanity's challenge in the 21st century is to eradicate poverty and address inequality for all within the means of the planet's limited natural resources. In the run-up to COP26, this discussion paper presents a visual framework – adapted from Doughnut Economics – which brings planetary boundaries together with social boundaries, creating a safe and just space between the two in which humanity can thrive. Moving into this space demands far greater equity – within and between countries – in the use of nature resources, and far greater efficiency in transitioning those resources to meet human needs.

Oxford Discussion Papers

Oxford Discussion Papers are written in partnership with public, private sector thought-leaders on development and humanitarian policy issues. They are based on original research, and do not necessarily represent the conclusions or other policy positions of Oxfam. The ideas and recommendations expressed are those of the author, and not necessarily those of Oxfam.

www.oxfam.org/oxp

GROW
the system

Oxfam

The “Doughnut” of Social and Planetary Boundaries



“ . . . a simple visualization of the dual conditions . . . that underpin collective human well-being. The social foundation demarks that Doughnut’s inner boundary and sets out the basics of life on which no one should be left falling short. The ecological ceiling demarks the Doughnut’s outer boundary, beyond which humanity’s pressure on Earth’s life-giving systems is in dangerous overshoot. Between the two sets of boundaries lies the ecologically safe and socially just space in which humanity can thrive.”

--*Doughnut Economics*, p. 254

Mapping the SDGs onto the Raworth Donut



Goes to comments

NOTES ON SOCIAL FOUNDATION DOMAINS—DAVID TO SIMPLIFY

- *Social foundation domains and boundary indicators.* The 12 dimensions of social foundation (above left) are an expansion and revision of Raworth's original list of 11 dimensions (above right) and published in her February 2012 Oxfam Discussion Paper, "A Safe and Just Space for Humanity: can we live within the doughnut?" These 11 dimensions received the most mentions from a survey of social priorities returned by representatives from 80 national governments in preparation for the June 2012 U.N. Conference on Sustainable Development (a.k.a. Rio+20). Most domains in 2012 carry over to the 2017 Doughnut: "resilience" has been dropped; "income" and "jobs" are now combined; and "peace and justice," "housing," and "networking" have been added.
- Raworth (2012) provided 12 illustrative indicators of the extent of global deprivation for eight of the 11 dimensions of social foundation; indicators for "voice," "jobs," and "resilience" were left "to be determined" (Oxfam 2012, 10). Raworth (2017) provides 20 "illustrative" indicators for its 12 dimensions of social foundation (*DE*, 255); Nine of the 12 original indicators were carried over to the 2017 list.
- Oxfam Discussion Paper. Oxford: Oxfam International. 2012, p4. Hereinafter, Oxfam 2012. Available at <https://policy-practice.oxfam.org.uk/publications/a-safe-and-just-space-for-humanity-can-we-live-within-the-doughnut-210490>


NOTES ON PLANETARY BOUNDARIES

- *Planetary ecological processes, boundaries, and indicators.* The nine earth-system processes featured in both Raworth Doughnuts were identified as essential for maintaining global ecological stability by a group of Earth-system scientists brought together in 2009 by the Stockholm Resilience Centre, led by Johan Rockström. Quantitative boundary levels were proposed in 2009 for seven of the nine global ecological processes; levels for air and chemical pollution were listed as “to be determined.” Of these nine processes, three were judged to have been breached: climate change, biodiversity loss, and nitrogen loading. Findings were published later that year by Rockström et al. in a detailed, [33-page paper](#) and a [four-page summary](#).

- With one exception, the 11 indicators of ecological overshoot identified in 2009 have been carried over to the 2017 list. (Change in radiative forcing (watts per meter squared) was dropped as a climate change indicator.) Planetary boundaries for air and chemical pollution are still listed as “to be determined.” The major change since 2009 is the breaching of a fourth ecological ceiling—the planetary boundary for land use. The control variable is the “area of forested land as a proportion of forest-covered land prior to human alteration”; the planetary boundary is “at least 75%” but the current (2017) value and trend are “62% and falling (i.e., worsening)” (DE, 258).

The Raworth Doughnut:

Red indicates Social Foundation shortfalls & Ecological Boundary overshoots




The doughnut presents 12 human wellbeing domains (labeled “Social Foundation”) and 9 ecological boundaries (see attachment). This model also clearly displays (1) the inseparability of human and ecological wellbeing, and (2) the subordination of economics within the social fabric, which is enveloped within the environment. (Kate Raworth, ecological economist): “What exactly is the Doughnut? Put simply, it’s a radically new compass for guiding humanity this century. And it points towards a future that can provide for every person’s needs while safeguarding the living world on which we all depend.” – *Doughnut Economics: 7 Ways to Think Like a 21st Century Economist* (White River Junction, VT: Chelsea Green Publishing, 2017), p39. Images above are shown in black & white on pages 38 and 44, respectively.



Now shift to some highlights from last week on the U.N.'s 50-year history promoting sustainable development. Here's another way of displaying the 17 SDGs. Many more displays are available on the SDGs "images" website.

Paul's presentation on climate

KEY MESSAGES The Sustainable Development Goals Report 2022



01. Cascading crises put the SDGs at risk.
02. COVID-19 has had a devastating impact on the SDGs.
03. Conflicts are destroying the lives of many and destabilizing the world.
04. We are in the grips of a climate catastrophe and the window to avert it is rapidly closing.
05. The vulnerable are being hit the hardest.
06. Data and statistics can light the way.
07. SDGs are our roadmap out of crises and for our own survival.

<https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2022/The-Sustainable-Development-Goals-Report-2022.pdf>



https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/files/report/2022/SDGs_Report_Key_Messages_2022.pdf

I simply want to give reference to the latest reports and that I'll focus on SDG #13 Climate change and move on.

Last 10,000 years have been stable climate – allowed for civilization to start.

After a Green Sahara, peoples moves to the rivers and started civilization

2000 (8 MDGs) vs
2015's 17 SDGs

 1 ERADICATE EXTREME POVERTY AND HUNGER	 2 ACHIEVE UNIVERSAL PRIMARY EDUCATION	 3 PROMOTE GENDER EQUALITY AND EMPOWER WOMEN	 4 REDUCE CHILD MORTALITY
 5 IMPROVE MATERNAL HEALTH	 6 COMBAT HIV / AIDS, MALARIA AND OTHER DISEASES	 7 ENSURE ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY	 8 GLOBAL PARTNERSHIP FOR DEVELOPMENT

From NO mention
of climate change
to #13 Climate
Change



1 NO POVERTY	2 ZERO HUNGER	3 GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING	4 QUALITY EDUCATION	5 GENDER EQUALITY	6 CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION
7 AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY	8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH	9 INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE	10 REDUCED INEQUALITIES	11 SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES	12 RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION
13 CLIMATE ACTION	14 LIFE BELOW WATER	15 LIFE ON LAND	16 PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS	17 PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS


From 8 MDGs to the 17 SDGs



Another way to portray

The 17 SDGs as they relate to :


- Biosphere
- Society
- Economy



Where the main SDGs “belong”

The Raworth Doughnut:

Red indicates Social Foundation *shortfalls* (of 12 human wellbeing) & Ecological Boundary *overshoots* (amongst 9 Ecological Boundaries)



9 ecological boundaries. This model also clearly displays (1) the inseparability of human and ecological wellbeing, and (2) the subordination of economics within the social fabric, which is enveloped within the environment.

Goal 13

CLIMATE ACTION

There is no country that is not experiencing the drastic effects of climate change. Greenhouse gas emissions are more than 50 percent higher than in 1990. Global warming is causing long-lasting changes to our climate system, which threatens irreversible consequences if we do not act.

The annual average economic losses from climate-related disasters are in the hundreds of billions of dollars. This is not to mention the human impact of geo-physical disasters, which are 91 percent climate-related, and which between



Reference: [click here](#)

and using existing technology, to limit the increase in global mean temperature to two degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels, aiming at 1.5°C, but this requires urgent and ambitious collective action.

Goal 13

CLIMATE ACTION SDG 13 Facts and action called for

Facts (as of 2017):

- 1.0°C (1.8°F)
- + ~9" sea level rise;

+1°

Celsius

As of 2017 humans are estimated to have caused approximately 1.0°C of global warming above pre-industrial levels.

+20

cm

Sea levels have risen by about 20 cm (8 inches) since 1880 and are projected to rise another 30–122 cm (1 to 4 feet) by 2100.

https://www.undp.org/sustainable-development-goals?utm_source=EN&utm_medium=GSR&utm_content=US_UNDP_PaidSearch_Brand_English&utm_campaign=CENTRAL&c_src=CENTRAL&c_src2=GSR&gclid=Cj0KCQjwyt-ZBhCNARIsAKH1175L9-qMU13nVOsBLqQCporg6n5YTH7WWx6fT2WH9M9rIJa_9ujUAb#climate-action

Goal 13

CLIMATE ACTION SDG 13 Facts and action called for

Actions needed:

- need to limit to 1.5°C by 2050
- Paris Climate Pledges, if honored, only reduces 1/3rd of the GHGs needed.
- Bold action can save at least \$26 trillion US
- + create 18 million jobs

2050 1/3

To limit warming to 1.5C, global net CO2 emissions must drop by 45% between 2010 and 2030, and reach net zero around 2050.

Climate pledges under The Paris Agreement cover only one third of the emissions reductions needed to keep the world below 2°C.

US\$ 26 18

trillion

Bold climate action could trigger at least US\$26 trillion in economic benefits by 2030.

million

The energy sector alone will create around 18 million more jobs by 2030, focused specifically on sustainable energy.

https://www.undp.org/sustainable-development-goals?utm_source=EN&utm_medium=GSR&utm_content=US_UNDP_PaidSearch_Brand_English&utm_campaign=CENTRAL&c_src=CENTRAL&c_src2=GSR&gclid=Cj0KCQjwyt-ZBhCNARisAKH1175L9-qMU13nVOsBLqQCporg6n5YTH7WWx6fT2WH9M9rIJa_9ujUAb#climate-action

SDG 13 GOAL TARGETS:

- Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and natural disasters in all countries
- Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies and planning
- Improve education, awareness-raising and human and institutional capacity on climate change mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction and early warning
- Implement the commitment undertaken by developed-country parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change to a goal of mobilizing jointly \$100 billion annually by 2020 from all sources to address the needs of developing countries in the context of meaningful mitigation actions and transparency on implementation and fully operationalize the Green Climate Fund through its capitalization as soon as possible
- Promote mechanisms for raising capacity for effective climate change-related planning and management in least developed countries and small island developing States, including focusing on women, youth and local and marginalized communities

- Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and natural disasters in all countries
- Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies and planning
- Improve education, awareness-raising and human and institutional capacity on climate change mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction and early warning
- Implement the commitment undertaken by developed-country parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change to a goal of mobilizing jointly \$100 billion annually by 2020 from all sources to address the needs of developing countries in the context of meaningful mitigation actions and transparency on implementation and fully operationalize the Green Climate Fund through its capitalization as soon as possible
- Promote mechanisms for raising capacity for effective climate change-related planning and management in least developed countries and small island developing States, including focusing on women, youth and local and marginalized communities

IPCC Reports: THE BASIS

Since 1988, the IPCC has prepared six (6) comprehensive Assessment Reports (AR) about knowledge on climate change. They address:

- **Causes**
- **potential impacts**
- **response options.**

<https://www.ipcc.ch/reports/>

<https://www.ipcc.ch/about/history/>

The Latest IPCC Reports: 3 reports + Synthesis

Latest: 2021-2022 6th Assessment reports (AR6)

- [AR6 Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis](#) - August 2021
- [AR6 Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability](#) - February 2022
- [AR6 Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change](#) - April 2022
- [AR6 Synthesis Report: Climate Change 2022](#) - September 2022

<https://www.ipcc.ch/reports/>

Hotlinks to each report

6 Big Findings from the IPCC 2022 Report on Climate Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability

1. Climate **impacts** are already **more widespread and severe** than expected.
2. We are **locked into even worse impacts** from climate change in the **near-term**.
3. **Risks will escalate quickly with higher temperatures**, often causing irreversible impacts of climate change.
4. **Inequity, conflict and development challenges** heighten vulnerability to climate risks.
5. **Adaptation is crucial**. Feasible solutions already exist, but more support must reach vulnerable communities.
6. **But some impacts of climate change are already too severe to adapt to**. **The world needs urgent action now** to address losses and damages.

<https://www.wri.org/insights/ipcc-report-2022-climate-impacts-adaptation-vulnerability#:~:text=The%20report%20finds%20that%20every,is%20not%20safe%20for%20all.>

What does this mean?

In simplest terms:

- More Extreme weather events and resultant impacts: bigger storms, more wildfires, droughts and floods, etc.
 - Sea level rise first felt in storm surges and local flooding
 - Ocean acidification and potential food chain impacts
 - Agricultural shift
 - Biodiversity impacts
 - Etc.
-
- We will have a world of climate refugees (biospheric)!
 - To cooler climates and higher elevations
 - Away from coasts

Hurricane Ian traumatized Floridians. It also erased their nest eggs.

- As climate change makes natural disasters more frequent and severe Ian offered new evidence that Americans' retirement funds and assets are in jeopardy in vulnerable



“For retirees it’s a double whammy, because many fixed-income retirees buy their homes with cash. Flood damage can go from zero to a very high number very rapidly.”


<https://www.politico.com/news/2022/10/10/ian-cracks-floridians-nest-eggs-00060759?cid=apn>

Temperature predictions:

- Investment research firm RisQ, real estate company Climate Core Capital and the Harvard Graduate School of Design explored how quickly some of the nation's most desirable real estate **markets would heat up beyond the point of tolerable human living in what they called a “Death Valley Index.”**
- They measured how soon certain areas' climates would [mimic the historical climate of Death Valley](#), the site of the hottest-ever temperature on record, where between 1981 and 2010 daily temperatures hit **95 degrees Fahrenheit across 161 days on average every year (44%)**
- The exercise concluded that **Miami and Houston** will achieve that mark by **2026** when high temperatures and humidity are taken into account. **Austin would reach it by 2027, Tampa by 2029 and Phoenix by 2038. Orlando already has.**


<https://www.politico.com/news/2022/10/10/ian-cracks-floridians-nest-eggs-00060759?cid=apn>

Sea Level Rise - accelerating




8-9 inches

Projected Sea Level Rise



Colorado Results: GPI vs GDP

GDP grew by 300%
GPI grew by 215%



- Extreme Weather events will become more costly resulting in a false GDP – i.e. a divergence of the GDP vs. GPI
- Sea level rise – becomes astronomical



Chris Stiffler presentation 9/21/2022 to OLLI: Beyond GDP

<https://eeforum.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/Chris-Stiffler-Measuring-Genuine-Progress-GPI-Lecture-2022.pdf>

My thoughts:

- I'm less concerned about undershoots than overshoots. If we can't control overshoots, such unsustainable practice ultimate causes undershoots.
- Of Highest Priority We need to constrain
 - **Carbon emissions**
 - Electrify everything using non-carbon sourced energy
 - Install Solar
 - Drive EVs
 - **Sequester carbon**
 - Direct Air Capture (DAC)
 - Create Carbonate in Basalt –
 - ORCA – Iceland <https://climeworks.com/roadmap/orca>
 - WA - <https://quimpergeology.org/2020/03-15-2020-covid-19-cancelation/>
 - Soil health, sustainable Ag practices
 - – include no-till, **biochar** etc.

My thoughts:

OTHER

- Population – if ALL women had only ONE child we could reduce population to 2 billion people in 5 generations – SEE Eileen Crist reference
- Transition from growth economics to steady-state/donut economic models coupled with regenerative economic models for sustainable practices.
- We need to scale back our living and retirement expectations:
 - We don't need to travel all over the world,
 - we don't need 20,000 ft-2 homes,
 - we don't need super- exorbitant Titanic yachts



Tom Brady's New Yacht is Bigger Than the Titanic

Thursday


🔍 232 🗨️ 2k 📷

It is well-known that celebrities have really extravagant likes, so it isn't weird that most of them love to sail and had purchased the best yachts their fortunes can buy. Next, you will find the most expensive yachts celebrities have.




Tom Brady's New Yacht is Bigger Than the Titanic
© Provided by Inquirer

What is Biochar




Green plants remove CO₂ from the atmosphere via photosynthesis and convert it into biomass. Virtually all of that carbon is returned to the atmosphere when plants die and decay, or immediately if the biomass is burned as a renewable substitute for fossil fuels.

© 2011 Biochar Solutions Inc.



Green plants remove CO₂ from the atmosphere via photosynthesis and convert it into biomass. Up to half of that carbon is removed and sequestered as biochar, while the other half is converted to renewable energy co-products before being returned to the atmosphere.

Biochar enriches the soil and AG –but also sequesters Carbon for hundreds of years



COMBI – Better Together!

More thoughts:

Economic shifts:

- Growth economics --- don't work in the long run
- There are debates on degrowth vs green growth as noted in our looking forward email – listed in weekly prelude email and web page

So, then what...

- Regenerative economics, steady state donut, policy changes, etc.
- Dave's overview thoughts
 - ALLIANCE CENTER – THEIR PROJECT – coalition on regen econ....
 - What the center is for policy changes





Figure 1 A comparison of the emissions reductions from various individual actions. The height of the bar represents the mean of all studies identified in developed nations, while black lines indicate mean values for selected countries or regions (identified by ISO codes) where data were available from specific studies. We have classified actions as high (green), moderate (blue), and low (yellow) impact in terms of greenhouse gas emissions reductions.

The climate mitigation gap: education and government recommendations miss the most effective individual actions

What can we individually do to reduce our impact? The list is exhaustive

See this paper: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/aa7541/meta>



The World's Leading Resource for Climate Solutions

Our mission is to help the world reach “drawdown”—the point in the future when levels of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere stop climbing and start to steadily decline, thereby stopping catastrophic climate change—as quickly, safely, and equitably as possible.



<https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-by-2050>

The number of countries announcing pledges to achieve net zero emissions over the coming decades continues to grow. But the pledges by governments to date – even if fully achieved – fall well short of what is required to bring global energy-related carbon dioxide emissions to net zero by 2050 and give the world an even chance of limiting the global temperature rise to 1.5 °C. This special report is the world’s first comprehensive study of how to transition to a net zero energy system by 2050 while ensuring stable and affordable energy supplies, providing universal energy access, and enabling robust economic growth. It sets out a cost-effective and economically productive pathway, resulting in a clean, dynamic and resilient energy economy dominated by renewables like solar and wind instead of fossil fuels. The report also examines key uncertainties, such as the roles of bioenergy, carbon capture and behavioural changes in reaching net zero.

Can we have a revolution of economics and policies?

Is America Headed for Another Civil War?

- America is divided and battling many different internal “wars” — over politics, culture, language, religion. Is it possible all this internal division could culminate in a civil war? Today’s episode of “The Argument” brings together Jamelle Bouie and Tim Alberta to assess. Bouie is a Times Opinion columnist and historian of America’s Civil War. Alberta is a staff writer at The Atlantic and [made the case](#) that the F.B.I. Mar-a-Lago search is the tipping point for political violence that could put our democracy at stake.
- <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/10/12/opinion/the-argument-america-civil-war.html>

What will it take to treat climate change like it’s a world war.

I believe a 2-to-3-foot rise, such as caused by the collapse of the Twhaites glacier, will unite the world

QuimperGeology.org

Welcome About Us Events Speakers and Field Trip Leaders Field Trips & Guides Resources

2022-12-10 Marcia Bjornerud—Timefulness: How to think like a geologist can save the world

September 17, 2022 QMPGEOeditor1

TIMEFULNESS
HOW THINKING LIKE A GEOLOGIST
CAN HELP SAVE THE WORLD

On Saturday, Dec. 10, 2022, Marcia Bjornerud, Professor of Geosciences at Lawrence University in Wisconsin, will share ideas from her book *Timefulness: How Thinking Like a Geologist Can Help Save the World*.

TIMEFULNESS
HOW THINKING LIKE A GEOLOGIST
CAN HELP SAVE THE WORLD
MARCIA BJORNERUD

**A Geologic Perspective:
How thinking like a
geologist can help save the
world**

**Save the date: Saturday
December 10th 5 p.m.
Mountain**

<https://quimpergeology.org/2022/2022-12-10-marcia-bjornerud-timefulness-how-thing-like-a-geologist-can-save-the-world/>

My point here is that geologists think of the Earth in very different ways than most – in terms of time and space as related to present processes.


It leads some to be dismissive about Anthropogenic global warming (AGW) – “climate has always changed” in a somewhat smug, cold calculated way to sweep under the rug that our AGW issue is not about “just” climate change – it’s about our present sustainability. I bring up this title as intro to my slide deck in that I’m on the board for Sound Water Stewards because I care about our marine environment and where I can contribute locally with my science background <https://soundwaterstewards.org/>

I’ve taught many an OLLI course in Denver (West, Central, East and South) to the tune of “Earth Climate, Past, Present and Future; Concerns and solutions.

I’m also on the advisory board for Quimper Geologic Society <https://quimpergeology.org/> - that being said

RESOURCES

Resources



✓ Currently Reading

Rate this book



Preview

Abundant Earth: Toward an Ecological Civilization

by Eileen Crist


★★★★★ 4.33 · Rating details · 3 ratings · 2 reviews

In *Abundant Earth*, Eileen Crist not only documents the rising tide of biodiversity loss, but also lays out the drivers of this wholesale destruction and how we can push past them. Looking beyond the familiar litany of causes—a large and growing human population, rising livestock numbers, expanding economies and international trade, and spreading infrastructures and incursions upon wildlands—she asks the key question: if we know human expansionism is to blame for this ecological crisis, why are we not taking the needed steps to halt our expansionism?

https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/39105295-abundant-earth?from_search=true&from_srp=true&qid=76HySSNn9u&rank=1

Resources: David Grinspoon

Earth in Human hands and associated TEDx talks:
We know what we can do
but we need government leadership partnered
with the private sector



Earth in Human Hands: Shaping Our Planet's Future


by David Grinspoon

★★★★☆ 4.21 Rating details · 272 ratings · 43 reviews

For the first time in Earth's history, our planet is experiencing a confluence of rapidly accelerating changes prompted by one species: humans. Climate change is only the most visible of the modifications we've made—up until this point, inadvertently—to the planet. And our current behavior threatens not only our own future but that of countless other creatures. By comparing Earth's story to those of other planets, astrobiologist David Grinspoon shows what a strange and novel development it is for a species to evolve to build machines, and ultimately, global societies with world-shaping influence.

https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/26031232-earth-in-human-hands?from_search=true&from_srp=true&qid=8iCQ0YNM0g&rank=1

What We Know about Climate Change, updated edition (The MIT Press) Paperback – October 9, 2018



Foreword by Bob Inglis vii

Preface ix

- 1 The Myth of Natural Stability 1
- 2 Greenhouse Physics 9
- 3 Why the Climate Problem Is Difficult 17
- 4 Determining Humanity's Influence 27
- 5 The Consequences 35
- 6 Communicating Climate Science 43
- 7 Our Options 49
- 8 The Politics Surrounding Global Climate Change 57

Notes 65

Further Reading 67

[Goodreads](#)

Moved on to Alliance Center:
Brenna Simmon-St.Onge, Executive Director

- Who we are
- How we drive change
- Colorado's Sustainability Hub
- Our Community
- Living Lab-Demonstration Site

<https://www.thealliancecenter.org/>



Week #7 (Oct. 26th) Guest Presentation
“Sustainable, Resilient, and Equitable Communities for the 21st Century” and “Planning to Thrive”



Rocky Piro

PhD, FAICP

Retired Urban Planner,
previous Executive
Director, Colorado
Center for Sustainable
Urbanism

After our five-minute break, we'll hear from Dr. Sheila Davis, Director of the Office of Health Equity at CDPHE.